Case No. SACV15-00736 DOC (RNBx)

1. A. PURPOSES AND LIMITATIONS

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiffs Dual Diagnosis Treatment Center, Inc.; Satya Health of California, Inc.; Adeona Healthcare, Inc.; Sovereign Health of Florida, Inc.; and Sovereign Health of Phoenix, Inc. ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants² ("Defendants") through their respective attorneys of record, that certain sensitive documents and information have been and may be sought, produced or exhibited by and between the parties in this action entitled: *Dual Diagnosis Treatment* Center, Inc., et al. v. Blue Cross of California dba Anthem Blue Cross, et al., which is pending in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. SACV 15-00736 DOC (RNBx), (the "Action"). Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate to and petition the Court to enter the following Stipulated Protective Order. The parties acknowledge that this Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery and that the protection it affords from public disclosure and use extends only to the limited information or items that are entitled to confidential treatment under the applicable legal principles. The parties further acknowledge, as set forth in Section 12.3, below, that this Stipulated Protective Order does not entitle them to file confidential information under seal; Civil Local Rule 79-5 sets forth the procedures that must be followed and the standards that will be applied when a party seeks permission from the court to file material under seal.

B. GOOD CAUSE STATEMENT

This action is likely to involve proprietary information claimed as trade secrets or as competitive information, customer and pricing information, other valuable research, development, commercial, financial, and/or protected health information ("PHI") (as defined in 45 C.F.R. § 160.103) or other types of sensitive information for which special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecution of this action is warranted. Such confidential and proprietary materials and information

-1-

² Exhibit B, attached hereto, identifies the individual defendants that are referred to collectively herein as "Defendants" that join this stipulated request for entry of a protective order.

consist of, among other things, confidential business or financial information, 2 information regarding confidential business practices, or other confidential research, 3 development, or commercial information (including information implicating privacy rights of third parties), PHI, information otherwise generally unavailable to the public, or 4 which may be privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure under state or federal 5 6 statutes, court rules, case decisions, or common law. Accordingly, to expedite the flow of information, to facilitate the prompt resolution of disputes over confidentiality of such materials in preparation for and in the conduct of trial, to address their handling at the end 9 of the litigation, and serve the ends of justice, a protective order for such information is justified in this matter. It is the intent of the parties that information will not be 10 designated as confidential for tactical reasons and that nothing be so designated without a 11 good faith belief that it has been maintained in a confidential, non-public manner, and 12 there is good cause why it should not be part of the public record in this case. 13 14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 Action: this pending federal lawsuit.
- 2.2 Challenging Party: a Party or Non-Party that challenges the designation of information or items under this Order.
- 2.3 "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items: information (regardless of how it is generated, stored or maintained) or tangible things that constitute, reflect or disclose trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or PHI as defined herein, which qualifies for protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) and as specified above in the Good Cause Statement. "Confidential" information as used herein means any Designated Material that is designated pursuant to this Protective Order as "Confidential" or "Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" by the Producing Party or Designating Party, whether it is a document, information contained in a document, information revealed during a deposition or other testimony, information revealed in an interrogatory answer or information otherwise

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

revealed.

2.4 "CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" Information or Items: "Confidential" information (regardless of how it is generated, stored or maintained) as defined herein, which warrants further protection because it is particularly sensitive such that a Party's or Non-Party's business representatives cannot be afforded review of the information without creating a substantial risk of serious injury to the Producing Party or to third parties that could not be avoided by less restrictive means, and such that review should be relegated only to Outside Counsel of Record and House Counsel. All PHI that is not otherwise in a Receiving Party's possession (i.e., known to that Receiving Party due to circumstances unrelated to this Action) shall qualify as "CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" information.

- 2.5 <u>Counsel</u>: Outside Counsel of Record and House Counsel (as well as their support staff).
- 2.6 <u>Designating Party:</u> A Party or Non-Party that designates information or items that it produces in disclosures or in response to discovery or depositions as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY."
- 2.7 <u>Disclosure or Discovery Material:</u> all items or information, regardless of the medium or manner in which it is generated, stored, or maintained (including, among other things, testimony, transcripts, and tangible things), that are produced or generated in disclosures or responses to discovery in this matter.
- 2.8 <u>Expert</u>: a person with specialized knowledge or experience in a matter pertinent to the litigation who has been retained by a Party or its counsel to serve as an expert witness or as a consultant in this Action.
- 2.9 <u>House Counsel:</u> attorneys who are employees of a party to this Action. House Counsel does not include Outside Counsel of Record or any other outside counsel.

-3-

- 2.10 <u>Non-Party:</u> any natural person, partnership, corporation, association, or other legal entity not named as a Party to this Action.
- 2.11 <u>Outside Counsel of Record:</u> attorneys who are not employees of a party to this Action but are retained to represent or advise a party to this action and have appeared in this Action on behalf of that party or are affiliated with a law firm which has appeared on behalf of that party, and includes support staff.
- 2.12 <u>Party:</u> any party to this Action, including all of its officers, directors, employees, consultants, retained experts, and Outside Counsel of Record (and their support staffs).
- 2.13 <u>Producing Party:</u> A Party or Non-Party that produces Disclosure or Discovery Material in the Action.
- 2.14 <u>Professional Vendors:</u> persons or entities that provide litigation support services (e.g., photocopying, videotaping, translating, preparing exhibits or demonstrations, and organizing, storing, or retrieving data in any form or medium) and their employees and subcontractors.
- 2.15 <u>Protected Material:</u> any Disclosure or Discovery Material that is designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY".
- 2.16 <u>Receiving Party:</u> a Party that receives Disclosure or Discovery Material from a Producing Party.

3. SCOPE

The protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order cover not only Protected Material (as defined above), but also (1) any information copied or extracted from Protected Material; (2) all copies, excerpts, summaries, or compilations of Protected Material; and (3) any testimony, conversations, or presentations by Parties or their Counsel that might reveal Protected Material.

Any use of Protected Material at trial shall be governed by the orders of the trial judge. This Order does not govern the use of Protected Material at trial.

This Order shall govern all documents, the information contained therein, and all

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Action.

4. **DURATION**

Even after the final disposition of this litigation, the confidentiality obligations imposed by this Order shall remain in effect until a Designating Party agrees otherwise in writing or a court order otherwise directs. Final Disposition shall be deemed to be the later of (1) dismissal of all claims and defenses in this Action, with or without prejudice; and (2) final judgment herein after the completion and exhaustion of all appeals, rehearings, remands, trials, or reviews of this Action, including the time limits for filing any motions or applications for extension of time pursuant to applicable law.

5. <u>DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL</u>

5.1 Exercise of Restraint and Care in Designating Material For Protection.

Each Party or Non-Party that designates information or items for protection under this Order must take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards. The Designating Party must designate for protection only those parts of materials, documents, items, or oral or written communications that qualify so that other portions of the material, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not warranted are not swept unjustifiably within the ambit of this Order. Documents containing PHI will be assumed to be "CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" in their entirety, unless the PHI is already otherwise in the

-5-

possession of the Receiving Party, in which case they will be assumed to be "CONFIDENTIAL" in their entirety.

Mass, indiscriminate, or routinized designations are prohibited. Designations that are shown to be clearly unjustified or that have been made for an improper purpose (*e.g.*, to unnecessarily encumber the case development process or to impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties) may expose the Designating Party to sanctions.

If it comes to a Designating Party's attention that information or items that it designated for protection do not qualify for protection, that Designating Party must promptly notify all other Parties that it is withdrawing the inapplicable designation.

5.2 Manner and Timing of Designations.

Except as otherwise provided in this Order (see, *e.g.*, second paragraph of section 5.2[a] below), or as otherwise stipulated or ordered, Disclosure or Discovery Material that qualifies for protection under this Order must be clearly so designated before the material is disclosed or produced.

Designation in conformity with this Order requires:

[a] For information in documentary form (*e.g.*, paper or electronic documents, but excluding transcripts of deposition or other pretrial or trial proceedings), that the Producing Party affix at a minimum, the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" (hereinafter "CONFIDENTIAL legend"), to each page that contains protected material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (*e.g.*, by making appropriate markings in the margins).

A Party or Non-Party that makes original documents available for inspection need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting Party has indicated which documents it would like copied and produced. During the inspection and before the designation, all of the material made available for inspection shall be deemed "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" as appropriate. After the inspecting Party has identified the documents it wants copied and

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

produced, the Producing Party must determine which documents, or portions thereof, qualify for protection under this Order. Then, before producing the specified documents, the Producing Party must affix the "CONFIDENTIAL legend" to each page that contains Protected Material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (*e.g.*, by making appropriate markings in the margins).

[b] For testimony given in depositions, the Designating Party may designate the protected testimony (including exhibits) on the record before the close of the deposition or up to fourteen (14) days after the deposition transcript becomes available for review. If no specific further level of protection is invoked on the record, the testimony shall presumptively be treated as "CONFIDENTIAL" during the review period. After the expiration of the fourteen (14) day review period, the transcript shall be treated only as actually designated. A Designating Party may also request a reasonable extension of the presumptive review period, if needed, of up to thirty (30) total days. The Designating Party shall specify, for each portion, the level of protection being asserted, except that when it is impractical to identify separately each portion of testimony (including exhibits) that is entitled to protection and it appears that substantial portions may qualify, the Designating Party may invoke on the record, before the deposition is concluded, a right to have thirty (30) days from receipt of the deposition transcript or copy thereof (or written notification that the transcript is available) to specify the portions as to which protection is sought. In such event, the entire deposition transcript (including exhibits) shall be treated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under this Order until the expiration of the above-referenced thirty-day period for designation by letter of counsel (except that the deponent may review the transcript of his or her own deposition during this thirty-day period). Counsel for any Designating Party shall have the right to exclude from oral depositions any person (other than the deponent, deponent's counsel, reporter, and videographer (if any)) who is not authorized by this Order to receive or access protected testimony or exhibits. If portions of a videotaped

-7-

deposition are designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY," the videocassette or other videotape container shall be labeled with the appropriate Confidential legend.

[c] for information produced in some form other than documentary and for any other tangible items, that the Producing Party affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the container or containers in which the information is stored the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" as appropriate. If only a portion or portions of the information warrants protection, the Producing Party, to the extent practicable, shall identify the protected portion(s).

[d] to the extent that matter stored or recorded in the form of electronic or magnetic media (including information, files, databases, or programs stored on any digital or analog machine-readable device, computers, discs, networks or tapes) ("Computerized Material") is produced by any Party in such form, the Producing Party may designate such matter as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" by cover letter referring generally to such matter or by affixing to such media a label with the appropriate Confidential legend. Whenever any party to whom Computerized Material designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" is produced reduces such material to hard-copy form, such party shall mark such hard-copy form with the appropriate Confidential legend.

5.4 Qualified Protective Order.

This protective order meets the requirements of a qualified protective order as defined in 45 C.F.R. Part 164.512(e)(1)(v) and pursuant to California Civil Code § 56 *et seq*.

5.5 <u>Inadvertent Failures To Designate.</u>

The inadvertent or unintentional designation or production of documents containing, or other disclosure of, confidential information without being designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" at the time of designation, production or disclosure shall not be deemed a waiver in whole or in part of

a Party's claim of confidentiality or secrecy, either as to the specific information or as to any other information relating thereto or on the same or related subject matter. Any inadvertent designation or disclosure shall be corrected as soon as reasonably possible after the designating Party becomes aware of the error.

If corrected as soon as reasonably possible, an inadvertent failure to designate qualified information or items does not, standing alone, waive the Designating Party's right to secure protection under this Order for such material. Upon such correction of a designation, the Receiving Party must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this Order.

5.6 Privilege Maintained.

The inadvertent production of documents and information, if corrected as soon as reasonably possible, shall not constitute a waiver in this Action, or any other litigation or matter, of any privilege (including, without limitation, the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work product privilege) applicable to the produced materials or for any other privileged or immune materials containing the same or similar subject matter. The Protective Order encompasses an Order under the Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) that any privilege that may have been raised in the documents produced in this Action is not waived as a result of inadvertent disclosure of those documents in connection with this Action, if corrected as soon as reasonably possible, and this Protective Order governs all persons or entities in all state or federal proceedings, whether or not they were parties to this Action. The fact of inadvertent production of privileged information or documents by any producing Party in this Action shall not be used as a basis for arguing that a claim of privilege or work product has been waived in any other proceeding. Without limiting the foregoing, the Protective Order shall not affect the Parties' legal rights to assert privilege claims over documents in any other proceeding.

5.7 Filing of Designated Material

The filing of any documents and materials with the Court containing or reflecting the contents of information marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL

ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY" shall be governed by Local Rule 79-5.1. Each party shall use its best efforts to minimize filings that necessitate the filing of documents and materials designated "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY" under seal. Without written permission from the Producing Party or a Court order, a Party may not file in the public record in this Action any designated "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY" information. Filings may be made under seal only pursuant to a court order authorizing the sealing of the specific material at issue. The fact that a document has been designated "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY" under this Order is insufficient to justify filing under seal. Instead, parties must explain the basis for confidentiality of each document sought to be filed under seal. If a Party's request to file designated material under seal pursuant to L.R. 79-5.1 is denied by the Court, then the Receiving Party may file the material in the public record unless (1) the Producing or Designating Party seeks reconsideration within four business days of the denial, or (2) as otherwise instructed by the Court.

An application for disclosure of sealed documents shall be governed by Local Rule 79-5.3. Documents filed under seal may be unsealed at the Court's discretion.

6. PROTECTED HEALTH INFORMATION.

The current parties (and their attorneys) and any future parties (and their attorneys) to the Action are hereby authorized to receive, subpoena, and transmit "protected health information" ("PHI") pertaining to the health care claims at issue in this litigation to the extent and subject to the terms outlined herein, which shall be marked as "CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY," except as to parties who possessed the PHI apart from this Action, as to which it shall be considered "CONFIDENTIAL."

a. For the purposes of this Order, "PHI" shall have the same scope and definition as set forth in 45 C.F.R. § 160.103. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, "PHI" includes, but is not limited to health information including demographic information relating to (i) the past, present, or future physical or

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28 mental condition of an individual, (ii) the provision of care to an individual, or (iii) the past, present, or future payment for health care services provided to an individual which identifies the individual or which reasonably could be expected to identify the individual involved in a health insurance claim at issue in this litigation.

- All "covered entities" (as defined by 45 C.F.R. § 160.103) are hereby b. authorized to disclose PHI pertaining to the claims at issue in this litigation to all attorneys now of record in this matter or who may become of record in the future of this litigation.
- c. The parties and their attorneys shall be permitted to use the PHI pertaining to the claims at issue in this litigation in any manner that is reasonably connected with the above-captioned litigation. This includes but is not limited to, disclosures to the parties' House Counsel, their attorneys of record, the attorneys' firm (i.e., attorneys, support staff, agents, and consultants), the parties' experts, consultants, court personnel, court reporters, copy services, trial consultants, jurors, venire members, and other entities involved in the litigation process and authorized to receive CONFIDENTIAL information.
- d. This Order shall not control or limit the use of PHI pertaining to the claims at issue in this litigation that comes into the possession of any party or any party's attorney from a source other than a Party or Non-Party to this Action in the course of conducting this Action.
- Nothing in this Order authorizes any party to obtain medical records or other PHI through means other than formal discovery requests, subpoena, settlement correspondence between attorneys of record in this Action, or deposition.

7. <u>USE OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION</u>

Information designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" shall not be used by any person, other than the Producing

Party, for any purpose other than conducting or attempting to resolve this Action, and in

2 3 no event shall such information be used for any business, competitive, personal, private, public or other purpose.

4

8. CHALLENGING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

8.1 Timing of Challenges.

Any Party or Non-Party may challenge a designation of confidentiality at any time that is consistent with the Court's Scheduling Order.

8.2 Meet and Confer.

The Challenging Party shall initiate the dispute resolution process under Local Rule 37.1, et seq.

Burden of Persuasion. 8.3

The burden of persuasion in any such challenge proceeding shall be on the Designating Party. Frivolous challenges, and those made for an improper purpose (e.g., to harass or impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties) may expose the Challenging Party to sanctions. Unless the Designating Party has waived or withdrawn the confidentiality designation, all parties shall continue to afford the material in question the level of protection to which it is entitled under the Producing Party's designation until the Court rules on the challenge.

9. ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

9.1 Basic Principles.

A Receiving Party may use Protected Material that is disclosed or produced by another Party or Non-Party in connection with this Action only for prosecuting, defending, or attempting to settle this Action. Such Protected Material may be disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions described in this Order. When the Action has been terminated, a Receiving Party must comply with the provisions of section 13 below (FINAL DISPOSITION).

Protected Material must be stored and maintained by a Receiving Party at a location and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons

-12-

1112

1314

1516

1718

1920

21

2223

24

2526

2728

authorized under this Order.

9.2 <u>Disclosure of "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS"</u> EYES ONLY" Information or Items.

Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated "CONFIDENTIAL" only to:

- [a] the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of Record in this Action, as well as employees of said Outside Counsel of Record to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for this Action;
- [b] the officers, directors, and employees (including House Counsel) of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this Action;
- [c] experts (as defined in this Order) of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonable necessary for this Action and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A);
 - [d] the court and its personnel;
 - [e] court reporters and their staff;
- [f] outside photocopying, data processing or graphic production services employed in confidence by the Parties or their Outside Counsel of Record to assist in this Action;
- [g] professional jury or trial consultants, mock jurors, and Professional Vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this Action and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A);
- [h] the author or recipient of a document containing the information or a custodian or other person who otherwise possessed or knew the information;
- [i] during their depositions, witnesses, and attorneys for witnesses, in the Action to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary provided: (1) the deposing party requests that the witness sign the form attached as Exhibit A hereto; and (2) they will not be permitted to keep any confidential information unless they sign the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A), unless otherwise agreed by the Designating Party

or ordered by the court. Pages of transcribed deposition testimony or exhibits to depositions that reveal Protected Material may be separately bound by the court reporter and may not be disclosed to anyone except as permitted under this Stipulated Protective Order; and

[j] in confidence to any mediator or settlement officer, and their supporting personnel, mutually agreed upon by any of the parties engaged in settlement discussions;

[k] any other person to whom the Producing Party agrees in writing or on the record in advance of the disclosure, provided that the Party seeking to make the disclosure must first submit a request, in writing or on the record, to the Producing Party explaining why the disclosure is necessary. If the Producing Party does not agree to allow the disclosure, the Party seeking to make the disclosure may file a motion with the Court for approval to make the disclosure.

Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" only to the individuals listed in [a], and [c] through [k] above, as well as House Counsel of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this Action.

10. PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN OTHER LITIGATION

If a Party is served with a subpoena or a court order issued in other litigation that compels disclosure of any information or items designated in this Action as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY," that Party must:

[a] promptly notify in writing the Designating Party. Such notification shall include a copy of the subpoena or court order;

[b] promptly notify in writing the party who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all of the material covered by the subpoena order is subject to this Protective Order. Such notification shall include a copy of this Stipulated

Protective Order; and

[c] cooperate with respect to all reasonable procedures sought to be pursued by the Designating Party whose Protected Material may be affected.

If the Designating Party timely seeks a protective order, the Party served with the subpoena or court order shall not produce any information designated in this action as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" before a determination by the court from which the subpoena or order issued, unless the Party has obtained the Designating Party's permission. The Designating Party shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in that court of its confidential material and nothing in these provisions should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a Receiving Party in this Action to disobey a lawful directive from another court.

11. A NON-PARTY'S PROTECTED MATERIAL SOUGHT TO BE PRODUCED IN THIS LITIGATION

- [a] The terms of this Order are applicable to information produced by a Non-Party in this Action and designated "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." Such information produced by Non-Parties in connection with this litigation is protected by the remedies and relief provided by this Order. Nothing in these provisions should be construed as prohibiting a Non-Party from seeking additional protections.
- [b] In the event that a Party is required, by a valid discovery request, to produce a Non-Party's confidential information in its possession, and the Party is subject to an agreement with the Non-Party not to produce the Non-Party's confidential information, then the Party shall:
- (1) promptly notify in writing the Requesting Party and the Non-Party that some or all of the information requested is subject to a confidentiality agreement with a Non-Party;
- (2) promptly provide the Non-Party with a copy of the Stipulated Protective Order in this Action, the relevant discovery request(s), and a reasonably specific

description of the information requested; and

(3) make the information requested available for inspection by the Non-Party, if requested.

[c] If the Non-Party fails to seek a protective order from this court within 14 days of receiving the notice and accompanying information, the Receiving Party <u>may</u> produce the Non-Party's confidential information responsive to the discovery request, <u>and shall not withhold production on the basis of Non-Party confidentiality.</u> If the Non-Party timely seeks a protective order, the Receiving Party shall not produce any information in its possession or control that is subject to the confidentiality agreement with the Non-Party before a determination by the court. Absent a court order to the contrary, the Non-Party shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in this court of its Protected Material.

12.<u>UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL</u>

If a Receiving Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Protected Material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Stipulated Protective Order, the Receiving Party must immediately (a) notify in writing the Designating Party of the unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all unauthorized copies of Protected Material, (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this Order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the "Acknowledgement and Agreement to Be Bound" that is attached as Exhibit A.

13. INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGED OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED MATERIAL

When a Producing Party gives notice to the Receiving Parties that certain inadvertently produced material is subject to a claim of privilege or other protection, the obligations of the Receiving Parties are those set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B). This provision is not intended to modify whatever procedure may be established in an e-discovery order that provides for production without prior privilege

review. The Parties' agreement pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) and (e) is set forth above in paragraph 5.6.

14. <u>USE OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AT TRIAL</u>

The rules and procedures governing the use of Confidential Information at trial shall be determined by the Court at the final pretrial conference.

15.PRESERVATION OF RIGHTS AND PRIVILEGES

Nothing contained in this Order shall affect the right, if any, of any party or witness to make any other type of objection, claim, or other response to discovery requests, including, without limitation, interrogatories, requests for admissions, requests for production of documents or questions at a deposition. Nor shall this Order be construed as a waiver by any party of any legally cognizable privilege to withhold any Confidential Information other than on the basis that it has been designated Confidential, or of any right which any Party may have to assert such privilege at any stage of this litigation. Further, the inadvertent failure to designate any information as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS" EYES ONLY" shall not constitute a waiver of any Party or Non-Party's claim, either within or outside this Action, that any such information does contain trade secrets, proprietary information or is otherwise confidential and not subject to disclosure.

16.RETURN OR DESTRUCTION OF MATERIALS

Within sixty (60) business days after the Final Resolution of this Action (including conclusion of any appeal), all "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" Information shall be returned to Counsel of Record for the Party or Non-Party that produced it or shall be destroyed. As to those materials that contain or reflect "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" Information, but that constitute or reflect Counsel's work product, Counsel of Record for the Parties, or Non-parties, shall be entitled to retain such work product in their files in accordance with the provisions of this Order. Unless otherwise permitted herein, such materials may not be used in connection with any other proceeding or action. Counsel shall be entitled

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

to retain correspondence, pleadings, affidavits, motions, briefs, other papers filed with the Court, deposition transcripts, expert reports, legal memoranda, attorney and expert work product, and the trial record (including exhibits) even if such materials contain "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" information, so long as such materials are clearly marked to reflect that they contain information subject to this Order and may not be used in connection with any other proceeding or action (except as otherwise permitted herein). Any such archival copies that contain or constitute Protected Material remain subject to this Protective Order as set forth in Section 4 (DURATION). However, apart from such archival copies, "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" information that is constituted by PHI that was not otherwise in a party's possession apart from this Action must be destroyed. Nothing in this paragraph shall require the removal of information from backup tapes or other archival locations that are not accessible without forensic restoration efforts.

17. MISCELLANEOUS

- The restrictions set forth in this Order shall not apply to documents or information designated "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" that are publicly available or that are obtained independently and under rightful means by the Receiving Party, unless they became so due to a violation of this Order.
- A Party's compliance with the terms of this Order shall not operate as an b. admission that any particular document is or is not (a) confidential, (b) privileged or (c) admissible in evidence at trial.
- This Order shall apply to Non-Parties who provide discovery, by deposition, production of documents or otherwise, in this Action, if said Non-Party requests, in writing, the protection of this Order as to said Non-Party's "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" Information and complies with the provisions of this Order.

- d. Upon the Final Resolution of this Action (including conclusion of any appeal), this Order shall remain in effect and continue to be binding, unless expressly modified, superseded, or terminated by consent of all parties or by Order of the Court. This Court expressly retains jurisdiction over this Action for enforcement of the provisions of this Order following the Final Resolution of this litigation.
- e. This Order shall not prevent a Party from applying to the Court for relief therefrom, or from applying to the Court for further or additional protective orders, or from agreeing to modifications of this Order, subject to the approval of the Court.
 - f. The Court may amend, modify or dissolve this Protective Order at any time.
- g. By stipulating to the entry of this Protective Order no Party waives any right it otherwise would have to object to disclosing or producing any information or item on any ground not addressed in this Stipulated Protective Order. Similarly, no Party waives any right to object on any ground to use in evidence of any of the material covered by this Protective Order.

18. VIOLATION

Any violation of this Order may be punished by any and all appropriate measures including, without limitation, contempt proceedings and/or monetary sanctions.

EXHIBIT A ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND

I,	[print full name], of
	[print or type full business address],
declare under penalty of perjury that I	have read in its entirety and understand the
Stipulated Protective Order that was is	ssued by the United States District Court for the
Central District of California on [date]	in the case of Dual Diagnosis Treatment Center,
Inc, et al. v. Blue Cross of California	dba Anthem Blue Cross, et al. Case No.: SACV15-
00736 DOC (RNBx). I agree to comp	ly with and to be bound by all the terms of this
Stipulated Protective Order and I under	erstand and acknowledge that failure to comply
could expose me to sanctions and pun-	ishment in the nature of contempt. I solemnly
promise that I will not disclose in any	manner any information or tiem that is subject to
this Stipulated Protective Order to any	person or entity except in strict compliance with
the provisions of this Order. I further	agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the United
States District Court for the Central D	istrict of California for the purpose of enforcing the
terms of this Stipulated Protective Ord	ler, even if such enforcement proceedings occur
after termination of this action. I here	by appoint
[print or type full name] of	
	[print or type full
address and telephone number] as my	California agent for service of process in
connection with this actio or any proce	eedings related to enforcement of this Stipulate
Protective Order.	
Date:	
City and State where sworn and signed	d:
Printed named:	
Signature:	

DATED: September 21, 2016 STRIS & MAHER LLP 2 3 /s/ Peter K. Stris 4 Peter K. Stris Attorneys for Plaintiffs DUAL DIAGNOSIS 5 TREATMENT CENTER, INC., et al. 6 DATED: September 21, 2016 FOLEY & LARDNER LLP Eileen R. Ridley 8 Michael A. Naranjo Alan R. Quellette 9 10 11 /s/ Eileen R. Ridley Eileen R. Ridley 12 Attorneys for Defendants BLUE CROSS OF 13 CALIFÓRNIA, dba ANTHEM BLUE CROSS, ANTHEM HEALTH PLANS, INC., dba ANTHEM BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD, ANTHEM HEALTH PLANS OF 14 15 KENTUĆKY, INC., dba ANTHEM BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD, ANTHEM INSURANCE COMPANIES, INC., dba ANTHEM BLUE CROSS AND BLUE 16 17 SHIELD, COMMUNITY INSURANCE COMPANY, dba ANTHEM BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD, EMPIRE HEALTH CHOICE ASSURANCE, INC., dba EMPIRE 18 19 BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD, ROCKY MOUNTAIN HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL SERVICE, INC., dba ANTHEM 20 BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD. 21 ANTHEM HEALTH PLANS OF VIRGINIA, INC., BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF GEORGIA, INC., BLUE CROSS BLUE 22 SHIELD OF WISCONSIN, erroneously sued 23 as THE ANTHEM COMPÁNIES, INC., ACWA/JPIA EMPLOYEE BENEFITS 24 PROGRAM, AMERIFLIGHT, LLC GROUP LIFE & HEALTH INSURANCE PLAN, BANK OF THE WEST EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN, BLOOMBERG L.P. 25 HEALTH AND WELFARE PLAN, CNS 26 HEALTH AND WELFARE BENEFITS 27 PLAN, EINSTEIN NOAH RESTAURANT GROUP, INC. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN, ÉRNST & YOUNG MEDICAL 28 PLAN, FERGUSON ENTERPRISES INC.

28

FLEXIBLE BENEFITS PLAN, FOLLETT CORPORATION WELFARE BENEFIT PLAN, erroneously sued as FOLLETT CORPORATION EMPLOYEES BENEFIT TRUST, GENTIVA HEALTH SERVICES HEALTH & WELFARE PLAN. GLOBECAST HEALTH AND WELFARE BENEFITS PLAN, HOME DEPOT MEDICAL AND DENTAL PLAN. erroneously sued as HOME DEPOT WELFARÉ BENEFITS PLAN. INTEL CORPORATION HEALTH AND WELFARE BENEFIT PLAN, INTEVAC LIFE AND WELFARE PLAŃ, KENTUCKY CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY TRUST, LECROY HEALTH AND DISABILITY BENEFIT PLAN, LIVE NATION ENTERTAINMENT, INC. GROUP BENEFITS PLAN, NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORPORATION GROUP BENEFITS PLAN, PEAK FINANCE COMPANY GROUP HEALTH PLAN. PEPSICO EMPLOYEE HEALTH CARE PROGRAM, SAGE SOFTWARE INC. AND CO-SPONSÓRING AFFILIATES HEALTH AND WELFARE PLAN, SALLIE MAE EMPLOYEES COMPRÉHENSIVE WELFARE BENEFITS PLAN, SHEET METAL WORKERS LOCAL NO. 40 HEALTH FUND, THE AEROSPACE CORPORATION GROUP HOSPITAL-MEDICAL PLAN, THE KROGER CO. HEALTH & WELFARE BENEFIT PLAN, THE LILLY EMPLOYEE WELFARE PLAN, THE LINCOLN ELECTRIC COMPANY WELFARE BENEFITS PLAN. THE STEAK N SHAKE EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN, VERIZON NATIONAL PPO WEST, VIASAT INC. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN and XEROX CORPORATION WELFARE PLAN

-22-

DATED: September 21, 2016 GORDON AND REES LLP Ronald K. Alberts 2 Hilary E. Feybush Jennifer Marks Ghozland 3 4 5 /s/ Ronald K. Alberts Ronald K. Alberts Attorneys for Defendants ALLTECH, INC. 6 BENEFIT PLAN and 7 EHEALTHINSURANCE SERVICES INC. 8 DATED: September 21, 2016 VON BEHREN AND HUNTER LLP 9 William E. von Behren Carol B. Lewis 10 Joann V. Lee 11 12 /s/ William E. von Behren 13 William E. von Behren Attorneys for Defendants ALASKA AIR 14 GROUP, INC. WELFARE BENEFIT PLAN, ASANTE EMPLOYEE BENEFITS PLAN, BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD OF 15 TENNESSEE, INC., BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF FLORIDA, INC. d/b/a 16 FLORIDA BLUE, BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF MASSACHUSETTS 17 HMO BLUE, INC., BLUE CROSS AND 18 BLUE SHIELD OF MASSACHUSETTS, INC., BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF NORTH CAROLINA, BLUE CROSS 19 AND BLUE SHIELD OF SOUTH 20 CAROLINA, BLUE CROSS OF NORTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA, C.R. 21 BARD, INC. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN, CALIFORNIA PHYSICIANS SERVICE d/b/a BLUE SHIELD OF CALIFORNIA, CHICOS FAS, INC. HEALTH & 22 23 WELFARE BÉNEFIT PLAN, COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS 24 HEALTH PLAN, COVANCE, INC. HEALTH & WELFARE PLAN, DYCOM 25 INDUSTRIES HEALTH AND WELFARE PLAN, EXCELLUS HEALTH PLAN, INC., F.N.B. CORPORATION HEALTH AND 26 WELFARE PLAN. F5 NETWORKS. INC. 27 EMPLOYEE BENÉFIT PLAN, FASTRAC MARKETS LLC EMPLOYEE WELFARE 28 BENEFIT PLAN, FRESENIUS MEDICAL STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

-23-

Case No. SACV15-00736 DOC (RNBx)

28

CARE NORTH AMERICA MEDICAL PLAN, erroneously sued as NATIONAL MEDICAL CARE, INC. GROUP MEDICAL. DENTAL. LIFE AND AD&D PLAN, GKŃ EMPLOÝEE WELFARE BENEFIT PLAN, GENERAL NUTRITION GROUP INSURÁNCE PLAN. GLOBYS. INC. GROUP HEALTH PLAN, HENRY SCHEIN, INC. MANAGED CARE PLAN, HIGHMARK BCBSD, INC., HIGHMARK BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD, HIGHMARK BLUE SHIELD. HIGHMARK, INC. d/b/a HIGHMARK BLUE SHIELD, HORIZON HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC. d/b/a HORIZON BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD OF NEW JERSEY, INLANDBOATMENS UNION OF THE PACIFIC NATIONAL HEALTH BENEFIT TRUST, INTERRAIL SIGNALS, INC. WELFARE BENEFIT PLAN, JENNINGS AMERICAN LEGION HOSPITAL EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN. LOUISIANA HEALTH SERVÍCE & INDEMNITY COMPANY BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF LOUISIANA, MACHINISTS HEALTH & WELFARE TRUST FUND, MARTIN MARIETTA MEDICAL PLAN, NATURES PATH FOODS, INC. WELFARE BENEFIT PLAN. NORTHERN CALIFORNIA SHEET METAL WORKERS, NOVARTIS CORPORATION WELFARE BENEFIT PLAN, OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C. GROUP MEDICAL PLAN, ORÁSURE TECHNOLOGIES INC. HEALTH AND WELFARE PLAN. PEAK 10. INC. EMPLOYEE BENÉFIT PLAN, PREMERA BLUE CROSS, PREMERA BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD OF ALASKA, PROFIT INSIGHT HOLDINGS LLC GROUP HEALTH PLAN, PUBLIX SUPER MARKETS, INC. GROUP HEALTH BENEFIT PLAN, PUGET SOUND PILOTS GROUP HEALTH PLAN, RAYONIER, INC. WELFARE PLANS, REGENCE BLUECROSS BLUESHIELD OF OREGON, erroneously sued herein as REGENCÉ INSURANCE HOLDING CORPORATION; REGENCE BLUECROSS BLUESHIELD OF UTAH, erroneously sued herein as REGENCE INSURANCE HOLDING CORPORATION; REGENCE BLUESHIELD erroneously sued herein as REGENCE INSURANCE HOLDING CORPORATION; SAS INSTITUTE INC.

WELFARE BENEFITS PLAN, SCANA CORPORATION HEALTH & WELFARE PLAN, SEABRIGHT INSURANCE COMPANY GROUP HEALTH PLAN, SIMMONS WELFARE BENEFIT PLAN, SPOKANE TEACHERS CREDIT UNION EMPLOYEE MEDICAL & DENTAL PLAN, TUV AMERICA, INC. INSURANCE BENEFITS PLAN, THE MASTER BUILDERS ASSOCIATION HEALTH INSURANCE TRUST, TRINET EMPLOYEE BENEFIT INSURANCE PLAN, UNITED STATES STEEL PLAN FOR ACTIVE EMPLOYEE INSURANCE BENEFITS, U.S. RENAL CARE, INC., WELLMARK OF SOUTH DAKOTA, INC. and WELLMARK, INC.

REED SMITH LLP

-25-

Dan J. Hofmeister, Jr. Amir Shlesinger Monica J. Zi

/s/ Dan J. Hofmeister, Jr. Dan J. Hofmeister, Jr. Attorneys for Defendants

Attorneys for Defendants 3M
EMPLOYEES' WELFARE BENEFITS
ASSOCIATION (TRUST II) PLAN,
BCBSM, INC. d/b/a BLUE CROSS BLUE
SHIELD OF MINNESOTA, BLUE CROSS
AND BLUE SHIELD OF KANSAS CITY
d/b/a BLUE KC (erroneously sued as
"BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF
KANSAS CITY, INC.", BLUE CROSS
AND BLUE SHIELD OF KANSAS, INC.,
BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF
NEBRASKA, BLUE CROSS OF IDAHO
HEALTH SERVICE, INC., CARGILL
INCORPORATED & PARTICIPATING
AFFILIATES GROUP HEALTH PLAN,
CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY
LABORERS WELFARE FUND,
EMPLOYEES' BENEFIT PLAN OF
GENERAL MILLS, INC., WALTER
INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT CORP.
COMPREHENSIVE WELFARE BENEFIT
PLAN (formerly known as GREEN TREE
COMPREHENSIVE WELFARE PLAN),
HDR, INC. GROUP INSURANCE PLAN,
J.R. SIMPLOT COMPANY GROUP
HEALTH & WELFARE PLAN,

28

ALBERTSON'S LLC HEALTH &
WELFARE BENEFIT PLAN, LAYNE
CHRISTENSEN COMPANY HEALTH
AND WELFARE PLAN, MDU
RESOURCES GROUP, INC. HEALTH
AND WELFARE BENEFITS PROGRAM,
MEDTRONIC, INC. GROUP INSURANCE
PLAN, METAL-MATIC, INC. WELFARE
BENEFIT PLAN, ST. LUKES LUTHERAN
CARE CENTER EMPLOYEE HEALTH
CARE PLAN, TRANSPORT
CORPORATION OF AMERICA, INC.
EMPLOYEE HEALTH AND WELFARE
BENEFIT PLAN, TWIN CITIES BAKERY
DRIVERS HEALTH & WELFARE FUND
and UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA
FOUNDATION

O'MELVENY AND MYERS LLP

Brian D. Boyle Matthew W. Close Raymond Collins Kilgore

/s/ Brian D. Boyle

-26-

Brian D. Boyle Attorneys for Defendants ACTIVE POWER, INC. HEALTH AND WELFARE PLAN, ARDENT HEALTH SERVICES WELFARE BENEFIT PLAN, BAXTER INTERNATIONAL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES WELFARE BENEFIT PLAN, CONSOLIDATED GRAPHICS, INC. GROUP BENEFITS PLAN, DELTA KAPPA GAMMA SOCIETY INTERNATIONAL HEALTH BENEFIT PLAN, DIRT FREE FLOOD SERVICES INC. HEALTH BENEFIT PLAN, ELLIOTT ELECTRIC SUPPLY, L.P. HEALTH BENEFIT PLAN, ENSCO HEALTH PLAN, **GROUP HEALTH & WELFARE** BENEFITS PLAN OF AMERICAN EAGLE AIRLINES, INC. & ITS AFFILIATES, H.E. BUTT GROCERY COMPANY WELFARE BENEFIT PLAN, HEALTH CARE SERVICE CORPORATION, A MUTUAL LEGAL RESERVE COMPANY d/b/a BLUECROSS BLUESHIELD OF

28

ILLINOIS, BLUECROSS BLUESHIELD OF MONTANA, BLUECROSS BLUESHIELD OF NEW MEXICO, BLUECROSS BLUESHIELD OF OKLAHOMA, and/or BLUECROSS BLUESHIELD OF TEXAS, IESI CORPORATION EMPLOYEE WELFARE BENEFITS PLAN, ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION GROUP HEALTH PLAN, PIONEER ENERGY SERVICES CORP. GROUP HEALTH PLAN, RANDALL S. FUDGE P.C. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS PLAN, SOUTHWEST SHIPYARD, L.P. CAFETERIA PLAN, TENET EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN, THE GROUP LIFE AND HEALTH BENEFITS PLAN FOR EMPLOYEES OF PARTICIPATING AMR CORPORATION SUBSIDIARIES, UNITED SURGICAL PARTNERS, INTL WELFARE BENEFIT PLAN and XEROX BUSINESS SERVICES, LLC FUNDED WELFARE BENEFIT PLAN

DATED: September 21, 2016

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER AND FELD LLP

Robert B. Humphreys Katherine M. Katchen

/s/ Katherine M. Katchen
Katherine M. Katchen
Attorneys for Defendants BRICKLAYERS
AND ALLIED CRAFTWORKERS LOCAL
1 PA/DE HEALTH & WELFARE FUND
and INDEPENDENCE BLUE CROSS, INC.

DATED: September 21, 2016 MORGAN LEWIS AND BOCKIUS LLP Nicole A. Diller 2 Donald L. Havermann Anna Kim 3 Andrew G. Sakallaris 4 5 /s/ Nicole A. Diller 6 Nicole A. Diller Attorneys for Defendants GEICO CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED 8 WELFARE BENEFITS PROGRAM, BIMBO BAKERIES USA HEALTH AND 9 WELFARE PLAN, OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC. HOURLY EMPLOYEES WELFARE 10 BENEFIT PLAN FOR ACTIVE EMPLOYEES, and MERCY HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES 11 MEDICAL PLAN 12 13 DATED: September 21, 2016 **COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC** Keith Beauchamp 14 15 16 /s/ Keith Beauchamp Keith Beauchamp 17 Shelley Tolman 18 Attorneys for Defendants BLUE CROSS & BLUE SHIELD OF ARIZONA, INC. 19 EMPLOYEE HEALTH PLAN, BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF 20 ARIZONA, INC. and TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY EMPLOYEE GROUP 21 **INSURANCE PLAN** 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

DATED: September 21, 2016 **NEIL J. BARKER APC** Neil J. Barker 2 3 4 /s/ Neil J. Barker Neil J. Barker 5 Attorneys for Defendants BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD OF MICHIGAN, BLUE 6 CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF 7 ALABAMA, MUELLER WATER PRODUCTS, INC. FLEXIBLE BENEFITS 8 PLAN, TAC MANUFACTURING, INC. EMPLOYEE WELFARE BENEFIT PLAN 9 and USUI INTERNATIONAL GROUP 10 **HEALTH & WELFARE PLAN** 11 DATED: September 21, 2016 12 13 14 /s/ Patrick P. de Gravelles Patrick P. de Gravelles 15 Attorneys for Defendants CAREFIRST OF MARYLAND, INC. d/b/a CAREFIRST 16 BLUECROSS BLUESHIELD and GROUP 17 HOSPITALIZATION AND MEDICAL SERVICES, INC. d/b/a CAREFIRST 18 **BLUECROSS BLUESHIELD** 19 DATED: September 21, 2016 LAW OFFICES OF RONALD S. 20 **KRAVITZ** Ronald S. Kravitz 21 22 23 /s/ Ronald S. Kravitz Ronald S. Kravitz 24 Attorneys for Defendants HUNTINGTON BANCŠHARES INCORPORATED 25 **HEALTH CARE PLAN and WELLS** FARGO & CO. HEALTH PLAN 26 27 28

ase 8:15-cv-00736-DOC-DFM Docu	ment 1058 Filed 09/21/16 Page 31 of 36 Page ID #:59526
DATED: September 21, 2016	VALLE MAKOFF LLP John M. Moscarino
	BENESCH FRIEDLANDER COPLAN & ARONOFF LLP Maynard A. Buck Christopher J. Lalak Katrina O. Tesner
	/s/ Maynard A. Buck Maynard A. Buck Attorneys for Defendant EATON CORPORATION MEDICAL PLAN FOR U.S. EMPLOYEES
DATED: September 21, 2016	HUNTON AND WILLIAMS LLP Phillip J. Eskenazi Alexandrea H .Young
	/s/ Alexandrea H. Young Alexandrea H. Young Attorneys for Defendant L BRANDS, INC. HEALTH AND WELFARE BENEFITS PLAN (formerly known and sued as Limited Brands, Inc. Health and Welfare Benefits Plan)
DATED: September 21, 2016	BRYAN CAVE LLP William B. Brockman Christopher L. Dueringer Nancy Franco
	/s/ William B. Brockman William B. Brockman Attorneys for Defendant MEDIANEWS GROUP WELFARE BENEFITS PLAN

-30-

1	DATED:	September 21, 2016	BURKE WILLIAMS AND SORENSEN LLP Melissa M. Cowan
2			Keiko J. Kojima
3			
4			/s/ Melissa M. Cowan
5			Melissa M. Cowan
7			Attorneys for Defendant THE MILTON S. HERSHEY MEDICAL CENTER HEALTH
8			AND WELFARE PLAN
9	DATED:	September 21, 2016	GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
10			Molly K. Madden Hong-An Vu
11			
12			
13			/s/ Molly K. Madden Molly K. Madden
14			Attorneys for Defendant VERTICAL SEARCH WORKS, INC. MEDICAL PLAN
15			SEARCH WORRS, INC. WEDICAL I LAN
16	DATED:	September 21, 2016	BROWNE GEORGE ROSS LLP
16 17	DATED:	September 21, 2016	BROWNE GEORGE ROSS LLP Eric M. George Keith J. Wesley
	DATED:	September 21, 2016	Eric M. George
17	DATED:	September 21, 2016	Eric M. George Keith J. Wesley
17 18	DATED:	September 21, 2016	Eric M. George Keith J. Wesley /s/ Eric M. George Eric M. George
17 18 19	DATED:	September 21, 2016	Eric M. George Keith J. Wesley /s/ Eric M. George Eric M. George
17 18 19 20	DATED:	September 21, 2016	Eric M. George Keith J. Wesley
17 18 19 20 21	DATED:	September 21, 2016	Eric M. George Keith J. Wesley /s/ Eric M. George Eric M. George
17 18 19 20 21 22	DATED:	September 21, 2016	Eric M. George Keith J. Wesley /s/ Eric M. George Eric M. George
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DATED:	September 21, 2016	Eric M. George Keith J. Wesley /s/ Eric M. George Eric M. George
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DATED:	September 21, 2016	Eric M. George Keith J. Wesley /s/ Eric M. George Eric M. George
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	DATED:	September 21, 2016	Eric M. George Keith J. Wesley /s/ Eric M. George Eric M. George
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	DATED:	September 21, 2016	Eric M. George Keith J. Wesley /s/ Eric M. George Eric M. George

ase 8:15-cv-00736-DOC-DFM Document 1058 Filed 09/21/16 Page 32 of 36 Page ID #:59527

1 2	DATED:	September 21, 2016	BINGHAM GREENEBAUM DOLL LLP Janet P. Jakubowicz (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Kate B. Ward (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
3			NOSSAMAN LLP
4			James H. Vorhis Jill N. Jaffe
5			
6			
7			/s/ Jill N. Jaffe Jill N. Jaffe
8			Attorneys for Defendant HL FINANCIAL
9			SERVIČES, LLC EMPLOYEE BENEFITS PLAN
10	D 4 7750	0 1 01 001 6	
11 12	DATED:	September 21, 2016	MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP Dan Woods
13			
14			
			/s/ Dan Woods Dan Woods
15			Attorneys for Defendant ALLIANT INSURANCE SERVICES WELFARE
1617			BENEFITS PLAN
18	DATED:	Santambar 21 2016	DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
19	DATED.	September 21, 2016	David R. Levin
20			Monica A. Novak
21			
22			/s/ David R. Levin
23			David R. Levin Attorneys for Defendant TIME WARNER CABLE BENEFITS PLAN
24			CABLÉ BENEFITS PLAN
25			
26			
27			
28			

-32-

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER Case No. SACV15-00736 DOC (RNBx)

ase 8:15-cv-00736-DOC-DFM Document 1058 Filed 09/21/16 Page 33 of 36 Page ID #:59528

		n	r.33323	
1 2	DATED:	September 21, 2016		ARD COOPER & GALE, LLP pher J. Rillo
3				
4			/s/Chris	topher J. Rillo
5			Attorne	pher J. Rillo ys for Defendant THE HARTFORD NSURANCE COMPANY
6			EMPL(OYEE MEDICAL AND DENTAL ISE BENEFITS PLAN
7			L/XI L	ISE BEIGHT I BILLY
8	DATED:	September 21, 2016		NSFELDER, HEMKER & GALE, P.C.
9				Blaisdell A. Daming
11				RS NEMETH GERMAIN PC
12			Christo	pher M. Rogers
13				
14			/s/ Chri	stopher M. Rogers
15			Christo Attorne	pher M. Rogers ys for Defendant ASCENSION THEALTH MEDICAL PLAN
16			SMAR	THEALTH MEDICAL PLAN
17	DATED:	September 21, 2016		S BRISBOIS BISGAARD &
18			SMITH Elise D	
19				
20				
21			/s/ Elise D	e D. Klein . Klein
22			Attorne	ys for Defendant UFCW LOCAL IPLOYERS HEALTH TRUST
23			555-LIV	II LO I LING IIL/ILIII III III III
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
			-33-	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER Case No. SACV15-00736 DOC (RNBx)

Case 8:15-cv-00736-DOC-DFM Document 1058 Filed 09/21/16 Page 34 of 36 Page ID #:59529

	".	
1 2 3		LAQUER, URBAN, CLIFFORD & HODGE LLP Susan Graham Lovelace Michael Y. Jung
4 5 6 7 8		/s/ Susan Graham Lovelace Susan Graham Lovelace Attorneys for Defendant SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA IBEW-NECA HEALTH TRUST FUND
9 10 11	II , ,	DECHERT LLP Nathan McClellan
12 13 14 15		/s/ Nathan McClellan Nathan McClellan Attorneys for Defendant ROCKET SOFTWARE GROUP INSURANCE BENEFIT PLAN
16171819	,	THOMPSON & KNIGHT LLP Thom Gilbert
202122	<u>'</u>	/s/ Thom Gilbert Thom Gilbert Attorneys for Defendant YATES PETROLEUM CORPORATION, ET AL. FLEXIBLE BENEFITS CAFETERIA PLAN
23242526		Sule 5-4.3.4(a)(2)(1), Eileen R. Ridley hereby this document and its contents was obtained from
262728	all signatories listed.	

-34-

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER Case No. SACV15-00736 DOC (RNBx)

Case 8:15-cv-00736-DOC-DFM Document 1058 Filed 09/21/16 Page 35 of 36 Page ID #:59530

FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO ORDERED. DATE: September 21, 2016 HONORABLE DOUGLAS F. McCORMICK UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE COURT JUDGE